## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY PCT JOHN K. HARROP DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 300 SOUTH WRITTEN OPINION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004 (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing 29 MAY 2001 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within TWO months from the above date of mailing 5287.01 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US00/09542 11 APRIL 2000 13 APRIL 1999 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04N 7/173 and US Cl. 725/87: Applicant **DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS** 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion H Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII. Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this When? Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. How? For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 13 AUGUST 2001 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Authorized officer Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT CHRISTOPHER GRANT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 305-4755

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998)\*

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US00/09542

1. B	asis of	the opinion						
1. With	n regard	to the elements of the inten	national application:*					
x		ternational application a						
	l .	scription:	Ç					
X		1-74		as originally filed				
		NONE						
			, filed with the letter of					
_								
X	the cla	55.04						
	pages			, as originally filed				
	pages		, as amended (together with a					
		NONE NONE		, filed with the demand				
	bages	NONE	, filed with the letter of					
x	the dra	wings:						
نث	pages	1.50		as osiginally filed				
	pages							
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of					
X	the seq	uence listing part of the						
		NONE		, as originally filed				
		NONE		, filed with the demand				
	pages .	NONE	, filed with the letter of					
	the lang	guage of publication of	urnished for the purposes of international search the international application (under Rule 48.3) mished for the purposes of international preliminary	<b>(b))</b> .				
3. With	regard		amino acid sequence disclosed in the international ting:	application, the written opinion was				
	contain	ntained in the international application in printed form.						
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.								
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
_	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.								
	The stat	ement that the information	recorded in computer readable form is identical to	the writen sequence listing has				
	coon ru	nished. nendments have resulted						
4.[]	√ .		NONE					
	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	ne description, pages						
		ne claims, Nos.	NONE					
اا	∟X] tł	ne drawings, sheets/fig	NONE					
5.	This opi	nion has been drawn as if the disclosure as filed, as	(some of) the amendments had not been made, sinc indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	e they have been considered to go				
* Repla in this	cement s opinion	heets which have been furn as "originally filed".	ished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation	on under Article 14 are referred to				

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US00/09542

ì.	statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	YES
	•	Claims	1-109	NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
		Claims	1-109	NO
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-109	YES
	, ,	Claims	NONE	NO NO

Considering claims 1, 34, 64 and 71, Hendricks discloses a method for ordering and distributing electronic books comprising:

- (1) receiving a data stream representing a book (from 282 to 250, figure 1);
- (2) processing the data stream comprising:
  - (a) encrypting (figure 5: step 504, page 10, lines 9-20, page 11, lines 10-11);
  - (b) add error correction (pages 11, lines 10-11 and step 504);
  - (c) convert (step 508);
  - (d) compress (MPEG data, figure 18b);
    - (e) multiplex (1064, figure 18b);
- (3) broadcasting (208, figure 2)
- (4) displaying (figure 14b-14c)
- (5) receiving..order (figure 14b-14e, page 25);
- (6) generating, sending and receiving authorization signal (pages (7) demultiplexing, decrypting and decompressing are all corresponding and necessary steps to the multiplexing, encrypting and compressing at the transmitting center stations.

Claims 2-33, 35-63, 65-70 and 72-109 are limitations disclosed and/illustrated throughout the Hendricks reference.

WO 95 15649 A (HENDRICKS) 08 June 1995, whole document